



COUNCIL AGENDA: 06-21-05

ITEM: 10.5(d)

## Memorandum

TO: HONORABLE MAYOR AND  
CITY COUNCIL

FROM: Larry D. Lisenbee

SUBJECT: SEE BELOW

DATE: June 6, 2005

Approved

*Ray Winer*

Date

*6/8/05*

Council District: City-wide

SUBJECT: ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION IN COMPLIANCE WITH ARTICLE  
XIII B OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND  
AS MODIFIED BY PROPOSITION 111 AND SB 88 ELECTING THE  
POPULATION AND INFLATION FACTORS AND ESTABLISHING THE  
FISCAL YEAR 2005-2006 APPROPRIATION LIMIT

### RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council adopt a resolution taking the following actions with respect to the City's 2005-2006 "Gann Limit":

1. Elect the per capita income index as the inflation factor for 2005-2006 on a provisional basis, with the option to adjust the Limit, if necessary, once the assessment data is available from the County Assessor; and
2. Elect the City population growth index as the population factor for 2005-2006; and
3. Establish the Fiscal Year 2005-2006 Appropriation Limit at \$653,692,911 in compliance with Article XIII B of the State Constitution.

### BACKGROUND

California voters approved an initiative on November 6, 1979 that added Article XIII B to the State Constitution. The provisions of this article place limits on the amount of revenue that can be appropriated by all entities of government. The Appropriation Limit is based on actual appropriations during the 1978-1979 fiscal year, as increased each year using specified population and inflationary growth factors.

Subject: Adoption of a Resolution in Compliance with Article XIII B of the Constitution of the State of California and as Modified by Proposition 111 and SB 88 Electing the Population and Inflation Factors and Establishing the Fiscal Year 2005-2006 Appropriation Limit

Page 2

### BACKGROUND (Cont'd.)

The original legislation implementing the provisions of Article XIII B became effective January 1, 1981. In accordance with that legislation, the governing body of each government jurisdiction must, by resolution, establish its annual Appropriation Limit for the coming year (prior to July 1) at a regularly scheduled meeting or noticed special meeting.

The original Article XIII B (Proposition 4) and its implementing legislation Chapter 1205/80 were modified by Proposition 111 and SB 88 (Chapter 60/90). Significant changes imposed by Proposition 111 include the following:

- The provision of a choice in methodologies for determining the annual inflation factor between (1) growth in California per capita income, or (2) growth in non-residential assessed valuation due to new construction with the City.
- The provision of a choice in methodologies for determining the annual population growth factor between (1) City population growth, or (2) County population growth.
- Regulations allowing the exclusion of "qualified capital outlay" expenditures from the calculation of the Limit.
- Provision of a process for avoiding tax refunds if a city falls sufficiently below the Limit in the next fiscal year. The revised language provides two years, beyond the second year, to refund any remaining excess during which jurisdictions can seek to obtain a successful override vote.

### ANALYSIS

The State Constitution (Article XIII B) specifies that the Appropriation Limit restricts the amount of revenue that can be appropriated. Not all revenues are restricted by the Limit, only those that are referred to as "proceeds of taxes". The majority of the major General Fund revenue sources (Sales Tax, Property Tax, Utility Tax, State In-lieu Subventions) are classified as proceeds of taxes, and are, therefore, subject to the Limit. Special fund revenue sources subject to the Limit generally include local construction tax revenues. Each revenue source is reviewed annually for classification as subject to, or exempt from, this Limit.

In addition, proceeds of taxes may be spent on several types of appropriations that do not count against the Limit. The law allows a city to spend tax proceeds on voter-approved debt, costs of complying with court orders and federal mandates, with certain restrictions, and expenditures for qualified capital outlay. Appropriations for these excludable categories do not count against the Limit.

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

06-06-05

Subject: Adoption of a Resolution in Compliance with Article XIII B of the Constitution of the State of California and as Modified by Proposition 111 and SB 88 Electing the Population and Inflation Factors and Establishing the Fiscal Year 2005-2006 Appropriation Limit

Page 3

### ANALYSIS (Cont'd.)

#### Appropriation Limit Adjustment Factors

As a result of Proposition 111, the City is required to choose between two annual inflation factors and two population growth factors.

The choice offered for the annual inflation factor is the greater of (1) the growth in California per capita income or (2) the growth in non-residential assessed valuation due to new construction within the City. The data necessary to calculate the increase in non-residential assessed valuation is not currently available from the County Assessor. Until such information is available, it is recommended that the City approve the 2005-2006 Appropriation Limit on a provisional basis using the inflation factor of California per capita income.

The choice offered for the annual population growth factor is the greater of the growth in City or County population. The California State Department of Finance provided the 2004 population growth rates for both the City of San Jose and County of Santa Clara at 1.47% and 1.12%, respectively. Based on these growth rates, it is recommended that the City Council approve the 2005-2006 Appropriation Limit using the City's population growth factor.

#### Calculation of the 2005-2006 Appropriation Limit

The application of the annual growth factors to the 2004-2005 Limit result in a 2005-2006 Limit of \$653,692,911:

|                               |                       |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 2003-2004 Appropriation Limit | \$ 612,030,054        |
| Inflation factor              | X 1.0526              |
| Population factor             | <u>X 1.0147</u>       |
| 2004-2005 Appropriation Limit | <u>\$ 653,692,911</u> |

Based on these calculations, the City Attorney, as required by the State Constitution, has prepared a resolution for City Council consideration that would establish the 2005-2006 Appropriation Limit for the City of San Jose at \$653,692,911.

#### Appropriations Subject to the Limit

The amounts in the City's 2005-2006 Proposed Budget subject to the Limit (net proceeds of taxes) total \$425,557,012. This amount is significantly below the required Limit:

|                                           |                       |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 2005-2006 Appropriation Limit             | \$ 653,692,911        |
| 2005-2006 Appropriations Subject to Limit | <u>(425,557,012)</u>  |
| Amount Under Limit                        | <u>\$ 228,135,899</u> |

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

06-06-05

Subject: Adoption of a Resolution in Compliance with Article XIIIB of the Constitution of the State of California and as Modified by Proposition 111 and SB 88 Electing the Population and Inflation Factors and Establishing the Fiscal Year 2005-2006 Appropriation Limit

Page 4

**PUBLIC OUTREACH**


Not applicable.

**COORDINATION**

This memorandum was coordinated with the City Attorney's Office.

**CEQA**

Not a project.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Larry D. Lisenbee".

LARRY D. LISENBEE  
Budget Director